

CITY COUNCIL MINUTES
September 7, 2010

I. ROLL CALL & ORDERING OF AGENDA

Acting President David Silvestri called the regular meeting of Wooster City Council to order at 7:30 p.m. in council chambers by asking everyone to rise and join in the Pledge of Allegiance. The following members were present: Jon Ansel, Mike Buytendyk, Mark Cavin, Barbara Knapic, David Silvestri, Jeff Steiner and Jon Ulbright

Mr. Silvestri stated Mayor Breneman advised he would be arriving late to the meeting and requested Communications From Mayor/Administration be moved before Miscellaneous and Ordinance No. 2010-26 be moved to the last item in New Business. There were no objections to re-ordering the agenda.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mr. Steiner moved, seconded by Mr. Ansel, to approve minutes of the August 16, 2010 meeting. Upon voice vote, motion carried unanimously.

III. PETITIONS/COMMUNICATIONS FROM PUBLIC

Following members of the audience spoke: Bob Nye, 2715 Eastwood Drive (Tartan Ridge Public Hearing) and Tim Swift, 7447 Haas Rd., Wooster Rotary Club (Resolution No. 2010-71 Oak Hill Park Pavilion).

IV. COMMITTEE REPORTS; PUBLIC HEARINGS

Mr. Buytendyk opened the public hearing regarding the proposed rezoning of 31.77 acres from an R-1 (Suburban Single Family) District to a PDOD (Planned Development Overlay District) for property located between Vinton Woods and Woodcrest Drive (Tartan Ridge). The public hearing was recessed from the August 16, 2010 council meeting. Mr. Buytendyk addressed Mr. Nye's concern as to why all the residents on Eastwood Drive did not receive notification of the public hearing. The ordinance requires only residents whose property is contiguous to or adjoining the platted land being rezoned receive notification. Mr. Buytendyk prefaced the public discussion saying what is before council is a change in the zoning, not an approval or disapproval of the development itself.

Attorney Doug Drushal, representing the property owner and developer MBS Real Estate LLC and Sommer-Swartzentruber Contractors, stated he would not repeat the presentation he made at the last city council meeting but offered to answer any questions. Mr. Drushal distributed a memo to council in rebuttal to Mr. Sigg's August 16, 2010 memo that advised council of the city's planning, zoning and engineering departments recommendations regarding the proposed rezoning. Mr. Drushal specifically wanted to point out that the development would not land lock a 6.6 acre parcel. He stated the proposed development does not change a single property boundary of parcels that currently exist.

Bob Nye, President of Homeowners Association on Eastwood Drive, indicated he nor his neighbors on Eastwood Drive received the notice of the Public Hearing. The neighbors he spoke to were opposed to the zoning change.

Kip Crain, 2788 Vinton Woods Drive, representing Vinton Woods neighbors, spoke in favor of rezoning the Tartan Ridge property for safety purposes, cost savings to the city and creation of a unique type of development which is not currently available in Wooster.

Greg Gehris, 347 N. Grant, spoke as to what Wooster needs rather than a gated community such as sidewalks in the neighborhoods surrounding the proposed rezoning and fewer tax exemptions to Wooster residents.

Phyllis Woods, 1565 Woodcrest Drive, opposes a gated community and suggested installing a cul-de-sac instead.

Keith Topovski, 1699 Lemar Drive, expressed his concern with usage of the open space, stating it may be in conflict with the building code and he reviewed several sections of the code pointing out various discrepancies. He does not feel the proposed purpose and application of the PDOD meets the criteria of the zoning code. Mr. Topovski also has concerns about his property which abuts the proposed development. He said his residence is not land locked as he has access off an easement driveway to Lemar Drive but he will be land locked from future

development of lots on his property. He does not believe changing the zoning from R-1 to PDOD is in the best interest of the city or the community and it does not meet code. Mr. Topovski hoped there would be a committee meeting where he could further address the many issues he feels the development has.

Tim Monea, Building Official, gave two points of clarification. As to the mailing of the public notice, code requires notices be sent to property owners within a certain distance of the proposed rezoning and that is why not everyone received a notice. Also, he explained the planning commission heard a preliminary proposal, it was not preliminary and final as the code doesn't allow that for a PDOD. The code requires a preliminary request go before the planning commission, then before council for the zoning change and if approved it will have to go back to the planning commission for final development approval.

After further discussion Mr. Buytendyk closed the public hearing.

V. OLD BUSINESS

1. Second Reading - ORDINANCE NO. 2010-20 AMENDING TITLE ELEVEN, ZONING, OF THE CODIFIED ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF WOOSTER, OHIO, SO AS TO RE-ZONE LAND TO A PDOD (PLANNED DEVELOPMENT OVERLAY) DISTRICT FOR PROPERTY LOCATED BETWEEN VINTON WOODS DRIVE AND WOODCREST DRIVE (TARTAN RIDGE) (Buytendyk)

Mr. Buytendyk introduced the legislation and stated the codified ordinances say that following a public hearing council shall take action. Therefore, Mr. Buytendyk indicated he would be moving the ordinance to final reading. He felt there has been much discussion, many memos and the issue before council is whether or not to change zoning. Mr. Buytendyk stated council is not approving or making the final approval of the development as submitted, the preliminary plan went to the planning commission and all the details will be worked out through them if the zoning change is approved. He said if it isn't approved there hasn't been additional time and expense incurred by the developer and further approval is not required by the planning commission.

Mr. Buytendyk moved, seconded by Mr. Ansel, to suspend the rules and place on third and final reading. Upon roll call, motion carried 6-1 (Ansel-yes; Buytendyk-yes; Cavin-yes; Knapic-yes; Silvestri-yes; Steiner-yes and Ulbright-no).

Mr. Ulbright felt it was unfortunate the vote on moving to third and final reading was taken before he had a chance to make comments, otherwise he would have commented during the public hearing. Mr. Ulbright believed in order to sort out the truths from the many memos and discussions, a committee meeting would be beneficial. He said he now had to decide whose comments meant more, the attorney representing the developer, with a vested interest in passing the rezoning request, or the city's director of administration, who has given council advice for many years on all sorts of issues. At the last council meeting Mr. Ansel gave very impressive statistics of how much money the proposed project would generate for various public entities but Mr. Ulbright said we must be careful when discussing how much income tax these expensive homes will generate for the City of Wooster as people who live in the city, but work elsewhere, do not pay City of Wooster income tax unless its over 1%. Mr. Ulbright wondered how the figures would differ if they weren't based on the development being a gated community but rather being developed as the current R-1 zoning. Mr. Ulbright stated he keeps hearing how a gated community will open up all kinds of possibilities for the city but if that were so this type of development would probably have emerged a long time ago. He questioned a gated private street in the middle of two public streets with six 90 degree turns up a fairly steep hill. He thinks a private street with a gated community ought to be a cul-de-sac, not a thru street. The residences on Vinton Woods are concerned about safety and Mr. Ulbright agreed the city is always concerned about safety on every street in the City of Wooster, for children and adults. But he feels it's a bogus argument to say that somehow if the zoning isn't changed this public street will turn into a major thoroughfare. Mr. Ulbright felt there were a lot of good points brought out in Mr. Sigg's memo and the bottom line was in the final paragraph which stated, "The proposed zoning change does not meet any of the purposes for which the PDOD zoning was created". Mr. Ulbright believes this zoning request is an attempt to use the code to get something the code does not allow.

Ms. Knapic said her comments from the August 16th meeting were attached to the minutes and would reflect that she did not say the gated community would open up Wooster to all kinds of opportunities but rather she said it is another option for the City of Wooster. Ms. Knapic also pointed out that Mr. Sigg's memo is an interpretation of the code, an opinion of what the code allows and does not allow. Mr. Benson has not written an opinion on this and Ms. Knapic said he is the city law director and is the person with the authority to do that. She has looked at the code, the various interpretations and also has went door-to-door to adjoining property owners and found the majority were in favor of or not opposed to the change in zoning. They were not 100% unanimous, but Ms. Knapic indicated the people she spoke with were primarily opposed to the change because of issues such as water runoff and erosion, not the development in general. Ms. Knapic feels this issue comes down to interpretation of what the code says and council has had the opportunity to hear varying opinions and dialog. She believes there has been ample opportunity for everyone on council and everyone affected to get this information. Ms. Knapic reiterated her position from the last meeting that she feels compelled to vote with the majority of those constituents in her ward.

Mr. Ansel stated this issue isn't a surprise or something that has culminated over the last week and a half that would require long and protracted additional meetings reiterating what has already been discussed. There has been ongoing dialog for over four months, with planning commission originally voting unanimously against the rezoning because of infrastructure issues, then the developer came back with revisions for proper infrastructure to meet city code and requirements, and then resulting in a split vote of the planning commission. Mr. Ansel indicated he attended several of those meetings and feels well versed, rather than waiting until the last minute when it becomes a controversial issue before council. He encouraged Mr. Ulbright to get involved with the planning commission meetings, the preliminary discussions, the reviews, the dialogs and discussions and not wait until the last minute claiming he is not prepared to make a vote decision. Mr. Ansel stated this is an approval for a PDOD zoning. The other issues in terms of sidewalks, traffic studies, open space, all have to go back to the planning commission for approval. Mr. Ansel said there will be additional discussions to make sure the city gets this right, to benefit both the city and the developer, as it should benefit the developer. Mr. Ansel stated they are developing a parcel that has sat empty for 40 years with significant drainage problems and they want to take a speculative endeavor to make an investment in the community in something Wooster does not currently have. It can be debated whether the investment will be a success or not, but the developer is the one taking the risk, not council, and Mr. Ansel feels the developer should be commended and rewarded for that, providing they adhere to the guidelines within the city's zoning code. Also, Mr. Ansel stated the city will not be required to maintain a private street which will be a cost savings to the city. Unfortunately a cul-de-sac, which was discussed at several meetings, is not prohibited because of length, limitation and guidelines in the code. Mr. Ansel stated that unless the law is black and white there is always interpretation with two points and valid arguments. The zoning code requires set backs and other deed restrictions that the developer will have to adhere to, but it doesn't say they can't have a gate. Mr. Ansel stated maybe the zoning code should be changed to specify no gates, so it is black and white. Mr. Ansel thanked everyone for their diligence and hard work, but felt to delay the matter for a couple more weeks wouldn't change anything.

Mr. Ulbright stated he resented Mr. Ansel saying he was not at the planning commission meetings because other than one, he attended them all. He also resented the insinuation that he was a last minute preparer. Mr. Ulbright merely suggested having a committee meeting as another opportunity to get more input from the general public, not for himself, as he knew how he would vote and his reasons why. He also addressed the fact that in the history of Wooster the majority of private streets in time become public streets due to the cost of maintenance for the homeowner associations. Lastly, Mr. Ulbright stated the City of Wooster competed in the All American City contest last year and he doesn't believe having a gated community in our city would win our city any points in future competitions. He feels our city has snobbery and exclusiveness enough which goes against everything he feels Wooster is and should be in the future.

Mr. Ansel stated he stood corrected, that Mr. Ulbright did in fact attend the planning commission meetings. But Mr. Ansel said Mr. Ulbright did justify his point in that Mr. Ulbright stated he was prepared to make his decision, so another couple of weeks would only mean additional cost and delay to the interested parties.

To the developer, Mr. Ulbright stated he applauded their interest in the community, but in his opinion, everything they could do, profit they could make, any feeling of pride and accomplishment, could be done with R-1 zoning.

Mr. Silvestri stated he still had questions in changing the zoning for the proposed development. He believes it is up to the city's planning, engineering, building standards departments and administration to decide if there is enough flexibility within the code to meet the requirements as they currently stand. The laws are open to interpretation and that is where the whole picture becomes cloudy for him. Mr. Silvestri stated several memos were received from the city administration and their positions and Mr. Drushal's response. With the original disapproval by planning commission at the first meeting, with a split vote at the second meeting, the issue seems to be tumbling towards council and Mr. Silvestri stated other developments have come before council better explained and better prepared. He asked the city administration if they felt there was enough flexibility within the proposed development to make it work. Mr. Sigg stated that the development will proceed either way and will look identical, whether its a public or private street. Mr. Sigg said there is only one reason the developer is asking for a PDOD and that is to have a private street with a gate. At this point it is a legislative decision and the final details of the development will still have to be formulated. Mr. Sigg stated the only difference between the development being built under R-1 zoning restrictions as opposed to PDOD zoning is a gate, nothing else changes. Mr. Silvestri questioned if a change to the zoning code would be in order before considering this issue or if there was enough justification to make the development work. Mr. Sigg stated it will be made to work regardless of what the decision of council. The city will continue to work with the developer whether its designated R-1 or a PDOD. Mr. Silvestri stated he did speak to the developer and is happy that sidewalks will be incorporated in their plan and that the gate will only apply to vehicles. Another concern for Mr. Silvestri is the trend for private streets to become a public streets at a later time. It is his understanding that a portion of the homeowner association fees for the Tartan Ridge development are to be allocated for the long term repair of the street and utilities and Mr. Silvestri hopes those amounts are set to maintain the private street well into the future. Mr. Silvestri said if someone is willing to make an investment in the community and if this zoning request provides them the options they feel is needed to make the project successful in these economically challenging times and if it is in the best interest of the residents in the immediate area and there are no major set backs, then that is his position.

Mr. Buytendyk said there are some philosophical differences but as long the request is not illegal or a nuisance, a person should have a right to do with their property as they please, as long as they work within the confines of the law. Under the circumstances, Mr. Buytendyk thinks the developer is trying to do that and as such should be able to do as they please.

Ms. Knapic stated under legal interpretation, if it is not something that is prohibited, than it should be permitted and in this case it is not prohibited. As far as private streets going back to the city, Ms. Knapic believes council should keep in mind that even if that should happen, which hopefully it won't, that in the meantime there is still a big cost savings to the city.

Mr. Ulbright indicated if council votes to change the zoning, than he feels the wrong thing is not only be done for now but a bad precedent is being set for the future in trying to maintain the zoning code.

Mr. Cavin stated he heard a lot of valid points, mainly from the administration, but he needs a more compelling reason to turn over zoning and he did not see anything significant enough to do that.

Mr. Buytendyk moved, seconded by Mr. Ansel, to adopt. Upon roll call, motion to adopt carried 5-2 (Ansel-yes; Buytendyk-yes; Cavin-no; Knapic-yes; Silvestri-yes; Steiner-yes and Ulbright-no).

VI. NEW BUSINESS

1. First Reading - ORDINANCE NO. 2010-24 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH MERCHANTS BLOCK, LLC FOR COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT AREA TAX INCENTIVES, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY (Ansel)

Mr. Ansel stated much work has went into renovating the Freedlander Building in downtown Wooster. Now Merchants Block, LLC, would like to continue investing in the downtown as it has done successfully in other downtown areas. They have petitioned for a Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) for 100% abatement in new real property taxes for 12 years. The CRA provides tax abatement for substantial investments in the revitalization of a

downtown area. This project is in excess of \$7 million and has been a joint effort initiative with state funding for the demolition of the Freedlander Building and with the city's CIC, Wooster Growth Corporation, who has made major investments and renovations in preparing the site-ready parcel. Both the Wooster Growth Corporation and the Wooster City School Board approved the CRA request and the last contingency is council's approval. Mr. Ansel highlighted the tax impact of the development. The property taxes associated with the current parcel as an unimproved block are \$1,963; taxes for the property with the investment will be \$5,659; and the deferred tax impact in 2022 is \$65,000. Additionally, Mr. Ansel said Wooster will have a \$7 million improvement to the downtown and will gain 60 additional parking spaces. Mr. Cavin questioned if any further infrastructure costs are expected for the city and Mr. Ansel said there will be parking lot construction, streetscape reconstruction and sewage upgrades. However, the developer will be paying for the demoed parcel that will offset most of the investment cost that is being spearheaded by the Wooster Growth Corporation. Mr. Starlin explained there will be roughly \$300,000 additional infrastructure needs to support this project, however if the project did not move forward, the city would still be required to replace the streetscape and put in a public parking lot. He stated there will be some Community Development Block Grant money used to offset the \$300,000. Mr. Cavin questioned wording in the ordinance and Mr. Benson explained the format and ODOB regulations.

Mr. Ansel moved, seconded by Mr. Steiner, to suspend the rules and place on third and final reading. Upon roll call, motion carried unanimously (Ansel-yes; Buytendyk-yes; Cavin-yes; Knapic-yes; Silvestri-yes; Steiner-yes and Ulbright-yes).

Mr. Ansel moved, seconded by Mr. Silvestri, to adopt. Upon roll call, motion to adopt carried unanimously (Ansel-yes; Buytendyk-yes; Cavin-yes; Knapic-yes; Silvestri-yes; Steiner-yes and Ulbright-yes).

2. First Reading - ORDINANCE NO. 2010-25 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE COYOTE GROUP, LLC FOR COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT AREA TAX INCENTIVES, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY (Ansel)

Mr. Ansel stated the Coyote Group LLC continues to make investments in downtown Wooster such as SoMar Wine Cellars, City Square Steakhouse and now these two additional significant improvements to older, less functional buildings. The proposed project at 203 and 207 South Market will be undertaken in two phases. Phase I - SoMar Fitness 24 and Phase II – The St. Paul Hotel. Both the Wooster Growth Corporation and the Wooster City School Board have approved the CRA request for 100% abatement in new real property taxes for 12 years. Mr. Ansel said these properties, formally the Wooster Interfaith Coalition, generated \$0 property tax. Immediately under the new acquisition, property taxes will be \$3,419 and the 2022 deferred tax income will be \$19,600. Bill Erdos gave a presentation explaining the planned development and answered several of council's questions. Mr. Starlin added that when the CRA was created in 2005 the tax value of the downtown commercial properties was \$67 million. With all the approved tax abatement projects, today's tax value of the downtown is around \$90 million and will be in upwards of \$100 million in the next two years with future development. Mr. Starlin stated these figures show the CRA program is working in the downtown.

Mr. Ansel moved, seconded by Mr. Ulbright, to suspend the rules and place on third and final reading. Upon roll call, motion carried unanimously (Ansel-yes; Buytendyk-yes; Cavin-yes; Knapic-yes; Silvestri-yes; Steiner-yes and Ulbright-yes).

Mr. Ansel moved, seconded by Mr. Buytendyk, to adopt. Upon roll call, motion to adopt carried unanimously (Ansel-yes; Buytendyk-yes; Cavin-yes; Knapic-yes; Silvestri-yes; Steiner-yes and Ulbright-yes).

3. First Reading - RESOLUTION NO. 2010-70 AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF ADMINISTRATION TO PURCHASE A VACTOR DEBRIS TANK FOR THE UTILITIES DIVISION (Silvestri)

Mr. Silvestri stated the Vactor Debris Tank is used to vacuum out manholes and utility pipes, removing water, gravel, sticks, etc. The request is to replace just the tank, estimated at \$40,000, which is about a tenth of total cost of

the truck (\$350,000-\$400,000). The tank has worn/rusted through and has already been patch repaired twice. Ideally, the remaining components on the truck can last for another 5-10 years so this will extend the life of the truck. Mr. Silvestri indicated the funds are budgeted as money was transferred from another budgeted project that will be deferred until 2011.

Mr. Silvestri moved, seconded by Mr. Steiner, to adopt. Upon roll call, motion to adopt carried unanimously (Ansel-yes; Buytendyk-yes; Cavin-yes; Knapic-yes; Silvestri-yes; Steiner-yes and Ulbright-yes).

4. First Reading - RESOLUTION NO. 2010-71 AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF ADMINISTRATION TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH SNIDER AND ASSOCIATES FOR SITE WORK IN CONNECTION WITH THE CONSTRUCTION OF A PAVILION IN OAK HILL PARK (Knapic)

Ms. Knapic thanked the Wayne County Community Foundation and Wooster Rotary Club for this wonderful project. The resolution would authorize the city to enter in a contract for site work in connection with the building of a pavilion at Oak Hill Park. Ms. Knapic stated this is a wonderful opportunity for the city as it will be at no cost to the city. The pavilion is a donation from the Rotary Club and the balance of the cost to install and provide the site preparation and solar panel inverter system on the roof is coming from donated monies and grants through the Wayne County Community Foundation.

Ms. Knapic moved, seconded by Mr. Silvestri, to adopt. Upon roll call, motion to adopt carried unanimously (Ansel-yes; Buytendyk-yes; Cavin-yes; Knapic-yes; Silvestri-yes; Steiner-yes and Ulbright-yes).

5. First Reading - RESOLUTION NO. 2010-72 THE DIRECTOR OF FINANCE TO ISSUE PAYMENT FOR SERVICES RENDERED UNDER CONTRACT(S) WITH VENDORS OR FOR SERVICES PROVIDED TO THE MUNICIPALITY (Ansel)

Mr. Ansel explained this is a housekeeping piece of legislation as there was an expenditure without a purchase order. The work has been completed by the low bidder, Elyria Concrete, for concrete work done at the soccer concession/restroom building facility at the Kinney Fields. Mr. Ansel said the total expenditure amount was \$4,094.50 and due to administrative protocol it has to come before council for approval.

Mr. Ansel moved, seconded by Mr. Buytendyk, to adopt. Upon roll call, motion to adopt carried unanimously (Ansel-yes; Buytendyk-yes; Cavin-yes; Knapic-yes; Silvestri-yes; Steiner-yes and Ulbright-yes).

6. First Reading - ORDINANCE NO. 2010-26 AMENDING CHAPTER 123, DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION, OF THE CODIFIED ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF WOOSTER, OHIO, TO PROVIDE FOR THE REORGANIZATION OF THAT DEPARTMENT, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY (Buytendyk)

Mr. Buytendyk introduced the ordinance by saying it would remain on first reading. Mayor Breneman addressed council explaining this has been an ongoing process beginning early this year when it was realized the city was facing a deficit for 2011 and a plan was needed on how to address that. The city offered a Retirement Incentive Program to eligible employees and 24 city employees decided to take part in the voluntary retirement plan which will save the city roughly \$2 million a year. Through a peer review process the management team helped advise how to move forward and administration visited with council members, community members and employees of the city to gain ideas on restructuring with approximately 15% less staff. The Mayor said the proposed reorganization will change the way the organization looks to a degree as divisions with similar functions will be consolidated. The Mayor indicated the biggest change will be the new Public Properties Maintenance Division, combining Streets and Structures, Distribution/Collection and Parks. These divisions perform similar labor functions and personnel will flow back and forth between the service areas to utilize personnel wherever they are needed. The Community Service Department will combine the Recreation/Community Center Division which take care of various sports programs, taxi passes and senior citizen activities. Within the last 3 years personnel has went from 187 full-time employees to 165 full-time and 7 part-time employees. The Mayor stated the proposed reorganization will allow the

city to balance the 2011 budget. Mayor Breneman answered council's questions and indicated he would provide them with similarly detailed charts, as the 2009 Table of Organization chart was more detailed than the proposed 2011 Table of Organization chart. The ordinance remained on first reading

VII. COMMUNICATIONS FROM MAYOR/ADMINISTRATION

Mayor Breneman, updated council on various activities in the city: 1) He expressed appreciation to council for approving the downtown CRA applications; 2) Senator Sherrod Brown and U.S. Deputy of Agriculture Under Secretary, Michal Scuse, visited the OARDC this week regarding Bovine herds; 3) Numerous applications have been received for the director of administration position and 4) Wooster Community Hospital has been chosen as Business of the Year by Wooster Area Chamber of Commerce and will be recognized at the annual meeting in January.

VIII. MISCELLANEOUS

Ms. Knapic wanted to acknowledge Chuck Malta, Recreation Manager, who has been with the city for many years and has chosen to retire. She also thanked the city administration for their help and information they gave her regarding the rezoning issue.

Mr. Ansel thanked city administration for their patience and follow-up on the rezoning issue and thanked Mr. Ulbright for a refreshing debate. Mr. Ansel too thanked the Wayne County Community Foundation and Wooster Rotary Club for their generosity. For all the millions of dollars of investments on Wooster's horizon, Mr. Ansel thanked the Chamber of Commerce, Wayne Economic Development Council and the city's economic development team.

Mr. Ulbright stated he too loved a debate and also recognized Chuck Malta for all of his accomplishments with the city and congratulated him and all the other city employees who have chosen to retire. Mr. Ulbright set a Public Infrastructure Committee meeting for Monday, October 4, 2010 at 6:30 p.m. in Council Chambers at City Hall to discuss proposed amendments to Codified Ordinances 905 Trees, Tree Lawns and Weeds and 351.03 Prohibited Standing or Parking Places. Mr. Montgomery requested that public input be included at the meeting to discuss planned infrastructure improvement projects for 2010 through 2015. Several projects will be receiving state and/or federal funding projects the city has received ODOT funding for.

IX. ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Ansel moved, seconded by Ms. Knapic, to adjourn. Motion carried and meeting so adjourned at 10:05 p.m.

David J. Silvestri
Acting President of Council

Cheryl K. Collins
Clerk of Council